	Case 1:20-cv-00636-JLT-HBK Documen	t 16 Filed 05/03/23 Page 1 of 2				
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	JUAN C. MEDINA,	Case No. 1:20-cv-0636 JLT HBK (PC)				
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND				
13	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL, DISMISSING THE ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THIS CASE (Doc. 15)				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, ET					
15	AL.,					
16	Defendants.					
17						
18	The assigned magistrate judge reviewe	d the allegations of Plaintiff's complaint pursuant				
19	to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. (Doc. 13.) The magistr	rate judge found that it appeared Plaintiff raised				
20	many unrelated claims, which concerning both his conditions of confinement and the legality of					
21	his confinement. (Id. at 4-8.) The magistrate judge determined Plaintiff failed to state a					
22	cognizable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and "[t]o the extent Plaintiff seeks to challenge the					
23	legality or duration of his confinement it is not appropriate to do so in a Section 1983 action."					
24	(See id.; see also id. at 8.) The magistrate judge granted Plaintiff 21 days to: (1) file an amended					
25	complaint, (2) stand on his complaint as screened subject to the magistrate judge recommending					
26	dismissal, or (3) voluntarily dismiss the case. (Id. at 9.)					
27	After Plaintiff failed to file any response, the magistrate judge issued Findings and					
28	Recommendations on April 10, 2023, recommending that the case be dismissed without prejudice					
		1				

	Case 1:20-0	cv-00636-JLT-HBK	Document 16	Filed 05/03/23	Page 2 of 2	
1	for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute. (Doc. 15.) The Court granted Plaintiff 14 days to file					
2	objections and advised him that the failure to do so "within the specified time may result in the					
3	waiver of his rights on appeal." (Id. at 4-5, citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th					
4	Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file any objections, and the time to do so has passed.					
5	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this					
6	case. Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes the Findings and					
7	Recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :					
8	1.	The Findings and R	Recommendations	issued on April 10	0, 2023 (Doc. 15) are	
9		<b>ADOPTED</b> in full.				
10	2.	The action is <b>DISM</b>	IISSED without p	orejudice.		
11	3.	The Clerk of Court	is directed to clos	se this case.		
12	IT IS SO OPPEDED					
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.				14 1 Taux Mon	
14	Dated: _	May 2, 2023		UNITED ST.	ML. MMM ATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15						
16						
17 18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						